CERN-PH-TH/2014-039, INR-TH/2014-006

From scale invariance to Lorentz symmetry

Sergey Sibiryakov[a,b,c]
[a] *Theory Group, Physics Department, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*
[b] *FSB/ITP/LPPC, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland and*
[c] *Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 60th October Anniversary Prospect, 7a, 117312 Moscow, Russia*

It is shown that a unitary translationally invariant field theory in $(1+1)$ dimensions satisfying isotropic scale invariance, standard assumptions about the spectrum of states and operators and the requirement that signals propagate with finite velocity possesses Lorentz invariance, and hence the full conformal symmetry.

arXiv:1403.4742v1 [hep-th] 19 Mar 2014

Theories invariant under scaling transformations play an important role in the physics of fundamental interactions, condensed matter physics and statistical mechanics. They appear at the fixed points of the renormalization group (RG) flows which describe the deep ultraviolet and infrared limits of the theory. We focus on the so-called "isotropic" scaling transformations when the time and all space coordinates scale in the same way,

$$t \mapsto \lambda t \ , \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto \lambda \mathbf{x} \ , \tag{1}$$

where λ is constant. This is the only possible form of scale invariance in relativistic field theories, where it is also believed to imply the larger conformal symmetry. This assertion has been rigorously proved in the case of 2 space-time dimensions, where the symmetry (1) combined with unitarity and some technical assumptions about the energy-momentum tensor (EMT) indeed leads to conformal invariance [1, 2]. Generalization of this theorem to higher space-time dimensions is a long standing problem, see [3, 4] for recent progress.

A priori, the symmetry (1) can also appear in theories that are not relativistic. However, there is a large amount of theoretical evidence that the RG fixed points characterized by (1) exhibit emergent Lorentz symmetry. This happens in the models of particle physics with broken Lorentz invariance [5–9], as well the models of condensed matter systems [10–14], where an effective low-energy Lorentz symmetry (not to be confused with the Lorentz invariance of the fundamental forces) emerges from intrinsically non-relativistic Hamiltonians. A partial explanation of this phenomenon is provided in [15] (see also [16]) where it was shown that in a unitary conformal field theory (CFT) small perturbations away from Lorentz invariance are generically irrelevant implying that conformal fixed points are infrared stable. However, this argument assumes the existence of a Lorentz invariant fixed point in the first place and does not tell anything about the criteria for this to happen.

In this Letter I explore the relation between scale and Lorentz invariance in $(1+1)$ dimensions. I will show that the isotropic scale invariance[1] (1) supplemented by assumptions listed below implies that the symmetries of the theory include one or several copies[2] of the full conformal algebra (which, of course, contains the Lorentz boosts). Namely, I am going to prove the following

Theorem: Consider a local quantum field theory in $(1+1)$ dimensions obeying the symmetry (1). Lorentz invariance is not assumed. Instead, we postulate the following properties:

1. *Translation invariance.* The theory is invariant under translations in time and space which correspond to local conserved currents. It is convenient to combine these into the EMT[3] $T^\mu_\nu(t,x)$ whose conservation implies $\partial_\mu T^\mu_\nu = 0$. The energy and momentum

$$H \equiv -P_t = \int dx\, T^t_t(t,x) \ , \quad P_x = -\int dx\, T^t_x(t,x) \ ,$$

commute with each other and define the evolution of local operators in time and space.

2. *Positivity of energy.* The Hilbert space of the theory is spanned by the eigenvectors of H and P_x; the eigenvalues of H are non-negative with $H = 0$ only for the vacuum.

3. *Unitarity.* The theory possesses a Hilbert space with positive-definite norm. The EMT is Hermitian.

4. *Existence of the dilatation current.* The symmetry (1) corresponds to a current D^μ which is related to the EMT by [2, 18] $D^\mu = \xi^\nu T^\mu_\nu + V^\mu$, where V^μ is a local operator. The dilatation charge $D = \int dx\, D^t(t,x)$ has

[1] It is worth to point out the difference from [17] which studies the consequences of *chiral* scale invariance and thus introduces from the beginning a predefined light-cone structure.

[2] An elementary example of the latter situation is provided by a theory of several massless fields — scalar or fermions — propagating with different velocities.

[3] The reader should not be confused by the relativistic notations: though we use the space-time indices μ, ν etc. that take values t, x, we cannot raise or lower them due to the absence of a Lorentz metric. We will sometime combine the time and space coordinates into a single vector ξ^μ with $\xi^t = t$, $\xi^x = x$. Summation over repeated indices is assumed unless stated otherwise.

the standard commutation relations with the energy and momentum,

$$-i[D, P_\nu] = P_\nu \ . \tag{2}$$

5.*Discrete, positive, diagonalizable spectrum of dimensions.* The set of all local operators is spanned by operators ϕ_i transforming in the canonical way under dilatations,

$$-i[D, \phi_i(\xi)] = \Delta_i \phi_i(\xi) + \xi^\mu \partial_\mu \phi_i(\xi) \ , \tag{3}$$

where $\Delta_i \geq 0$ form a discrete set. We also assume that the number of operators of a given dimension Δ is finite.[4]

6.*Finite velocity of signal propagation.* All commutators of local operators vanish outside a certain causal cone,

$$[\phi_i(t,x), \phi_j(0,0)] = 0 \ , \quad \text{if} \ \ |x| > v_{max}|t|. \tag{4}$$

Then the (improved) EMT of the theory decomposes into a sum of local dimension-2 tensors

$$T^\mu_\nu = \sum_{a=1}^{N} T^{(a)\,\mu}_{\quad\ \nu} \ , \tag{5}$$

which are traceless, conserved, have linearly related off-diagonal components and mutually commute:

$$T^{(a)\,\mu}_{\quad\ \mu} = 0 \ , \qquad \partial_\mu T^{(a)\,\mu}_{\quad\ \nu} = 0 \ , \tag{6a}$$

$$T^{(a)\,x}_{\quad\ t} + v_a^2\, T^{(a)\,t}_{\quad\ x} = 0 \ , \quad v_a^2 > 0 \ , \tag{6b}$$

$$[T^{(a)\,\mu}_{\quad\ \nu}, T^{(b)\,\lambda}_{\quad\ \rho}] = 0 \qquad \text{for } a \neq b \ . \tag{6c}$$

An immediate consequence of this result is the existence of N conserved currents

$$m^{(a)\,t} = x\, T^{(a)\,t}_{\quad\ t} + v_a^2\, t\, T^{(a)\,t}_{\quad\ x} \ , \ m^{(a)\,x} = x\, T^{(a)\,x}_{\quad\ t} + v_a^2\, t\, T^{(a)\,x}_{\quad\ x}$$

generating Lorentz boosts with the "speeds of light" v_a. Moreover, $T^{(a)\,\mu}_{\quad\ \nu}$ give rise to N copies of the conformal algebra $\mathcal{C}_a$ whose generators commute for $a \neq b$. Thus the symmetry of the theory is $\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{C}_N$. In the case $N = 1$ we obtain a standard chiral CFT, while a parity symmetric CFT corresponds to $N = 2$, $v_1^2 = v_2^2$.

Note that if $N > 1$ the theory contains sectors which do not interact with each other. Indeed, consider the set $\{\Phi^{(a)}\}$ composed of all local operators that transform non-trivially under $\mathcal{C}_a$ and are singlets with respect to $\mathcal{C}_b$, $b \neq a$ (this set is not empty as it contains at least $T^{(a)\mu}_{\quad\ \nu}$). The operators $P^{(a)}_\nu = -\int dx\, T^{(a)t}_{\quad\ \nu}$ act as translations on the operators $\{\Phi^{(a)}\}$ without affecting the operators of the family $\{\Phi^{(b)}\}$. This implies that the operators of the a-th family cannot appear in the operator product expansion of $\{\Phi^{(b)}\}$ and vice versa. Hence the operator algebras $\{\Phi^{(a)}\}$ and $\{\Phi^{(b)}\}$ are completely decoupled and form two independent CFT's.

I now turn to the proof of (5) which consists of 3 steps.

Step 1. Let us make the EMT traceless by an appropriate improvement. The conservation of D^μ implies $T^\mu_\mu + \partial_\mu V^\mu = 0$. On the other hand, the EMT can be always supplemented by a total divergence,

$$T^\mu_\nu \mapsto \hat{T}^\mu_\nu = T^\mu_\nu + \partial_\lambda Y^{\lambda\mu}_{\quad\ \nu} \ , \tag{7}$$

where $Y^{\lambda\mu}_{\quad\ \nu}$ is anti-symmetric in its upper indices. The choice $Y^{\lambda\mu}_{\quad\ \nu} = \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu}\varepsilon_{\nu\rho}V^\rho$, where $\varepsilon^{\lambda\mu}$, $\varepsilon_{\nu\rho}$ are anti-symmetric symbols, $\varepsilon^{tx} = -\varepsilon_{tx} = 1$, yields $\hat{T}^\mu_\mu = 0$ as desired. In what follows we omit the hats on the improved EMT.

A further improvement casts the scaling transformation of the EMT into the canonical form (3) with $\Delta = 2$. To see this note that the commutation relations (2) imply

$$-i[D, T^\mu_\nu] = 2T^\mu_\nu + \xi^\lambda \partial_\lambda T^\mu_\nu + A^\mu_\nu \ , \tag{8}$$

where the local tensor A^μ_ν is such that the integral $\int d\xi^\lambda\, \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu} A^\mu_\nu$ vanishes for any contour interpolating between the spatial infinities. Then it must have the form $A^\mu_\nu = \varepsilon^{\mu\lambda}\partial_\lambda B_\nu$ for some local B_ν. The trace-free property of the EMT implies

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\lambda}\partial_\lambda B_\mu = 0 \ . \tag{9}$$

Now we expand B_ν in the complete basis defined in (3),

$$B_\nu = \sum_i \beta_{\nu,i}\phi_i. \tag{10}$$

One notices that operators with dimension $\Delta_i = 1$ cannot appear in this sum. Indeed, expanding the EMT as $T^\mu_\nu = \sum_i \gamma^\mu_{\nu,i}\phi_i$ and substituting into (8) we obtain

$$\sum_i (\Delta_i - 2)\gamma^\mu_{\nu,i}\phi_i = \sum_i \beta_{\nu,i}\epsilon^{\mu\lambda}\partial_\lambda\phi_i \ .$$

Clearly, the l.h.s. does not contain operators of dimension 2. On the other hand, the derivative of a dimension 1 operator would produce a term of dimension 2 on the r.h.s., which would lead to contradiction. Then a redefinition of the form (7) with

$$Y^{\lambda\mu}_{\quad\ \nu} = \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu}\sum_i (\Delta_i - 1)^{-1}\beta_{\nu,i}\ \phi_i \tag{11}$$

brings the commutator of the EMT with D to the desired form. Note that the new EMT remains traceless: substituting (10) into (9) we obtain $\sum_i \epsilon^{\mu\lambda}\beta_{\nu,i}\partial_\lambda\phi_i = 0$. The cancellation must hold within each subspace of operators with a given dimension, which translates into the trace-free property of the improvement term (11).

[4] In fact, it is sufficient to make this assumption only for the level $\Delta = 2$ containing the (improved) EMT.

Step 2. This is the key step in the proof. We will demonstrate the following property of the Fourier transformed EMT:[5]

$$\tilde{T}^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega,k)\equiv\int dtdx\,\mathrm{e}^{-i\omega t+ikx}\,T^{\mu}_{\nu}(t,x)=0\quad\text{for}\ \ |k/\omega|<v_{max}^{-1} \tag{12}$$

First, we show that the Fourier components (12) annihilate the vacuum,

$$\tilde{T}^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega,k)|0\rangle=0\quad\ \text{for}\ \ |k/\omega|<v_{max}^{-1}\ . \tag{13}$$

Consider the expectation value of the commutator of two identical EMT components (no summation over the repeated indices !),

$$F_0{}^{\mu}_{\nu}(\xi)\equiv\langle 0|[T^{\mu}_{\nu}(0),T^{\mu}_{\nu}(\xi)]|0\rangle=\int d\omega dk\,\mathrm{e}^{i\omega t-ikx}\rho^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega,k)-\text{h.c.}, \tag{14}$$

where the spectral density is defined as usual,

$$\langle 0|\tilde{T}^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega',k')\tilde{T}^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega,k)|0\rangle=(2\pi)^4\delta(\omega'+\omega)\delta(k'+k)\,\rho^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega,k). \tag{15}$$

The positivity of energy and scale invariance fix the form of the spectral density, $\rho^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega,k)=\theta(\omega)\,\omega^2\hat{\rho}^{\mu}_{\nu}(k/\omega)$. The conservation and the trace-free property of the EMT yield the relations between the spectral functions corresponding to the different components,

$$\hat{\rho}^t_x(\varkappa)=\varkappa^2\hat{\rho}^x_x(\varkappa)=\varkappa^2\hat{\rho}^t_t(\varkappa)=\varkappa^4\hat{\rho}^x_t(\varkappa)\ . \tag{16}$$

Changing the integration variable in (14) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}F_0(t,x)&=\int d\omega d\varkappa\theta(\omega)\,\omega^3\hat{\rho}(\varkappa)\,\mathrm{e}^{i\omega(t-\varkappa x)}-\text{h.c.}\\&=2\pi i\int d\varkappa\,\hat{\rho}(\varkappa)\,\delta'''(t-\varkappa x)\\&=\frac{2\pi i}{x^3|x|}\hat{\rho}'''(t/x)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where for clarity we suppressed the indices μ,ν. Then (4) implies that $\hat{\rho}'''(\varkappa)$ vanishes if $|\varkappa|<v_{max}^{-1}$ and hence $\hat{\rho}(\varkappa)$ is at most quadratic in its argument. Comparing to (16) we conclude that the spectral densities of all EMT components vanish at $|k/\omega|<v_{max}^{-1}$, implying (13) by unitarity.

To extend Eq. (13) to any state in the Hilbert space let us replace (14) by the expectation value in a thermal ensemble with temperature T (again, no summation over the repeated indices !),

$$F_T{}^{\mu}_{\nu}(\xi)\equiv\langle[T^{\mu}_{\nu}(0),T^{\mu}_{\nu}(\xi)]\rangle_T=\int d\omega dk\,\mathrm{e}^{i\omega t-ikx}\rho_T{}^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega,k)-\text{h.c.}, \tag{18}$$

where the thermal spectral density $\rho_T{}^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega,k)$ is defined by the relation (15) with the vacuum correlator on the l.h.s. replaced by the thermal average. In a unitary theory it is positive definite and vanishes if and only if $\tilde{T}^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega,k)=0$. Due to scale invariance the spectral densities entering (18) have the form[6] $\rho_T(\omega,k)=\omega^2\hat{\rho}_1\big(\omega/T,k/\omega\big)$. The dependence of $\hat{\rho}_1$ on the first argument prevents us from explicitly taking the integral over frequency, so we have to take a different route. Let us subtract from the thermal average of the commutator its vacuum value,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(t,x;T)&\equiv F_T(t,x;T)-F_0(t,x)\\&=T^4\int d\hat{\omega}d\hat{k}\ \hat{\omega}^2\ \mathrm{e}^{-iTx(\hat{k}-\hat{\omega}t/x)}\\&\quad\times\Big[(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\hat{\omega}})\hat{\rho}_1\big(\hat{\omega},\hat{k}/\hat{\omega}\big)-\epsilon(\hat{\omega})\,\hat{\rho}\big(\hat{k}/\hat{\omega}\big)\Big]\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $\epsilon(\hat{\omega})$ is the sign function. In deriving (19) we have rescaled the integration variables and used the standard connection between the thermal spectral densities for positive and negative frequencies,[7] $\rho_T(-\omega,-k)=\mathrm{e}^{-\omega/T}\rho_T(\omega,k)$. We want to consider (19) as a function of the variables x and $\theta\equiv t/x$. Due to (4) this function vanishes for $|\theta|<v_{max}^{-1}$ and non-zero x at any T. However, the behavior at $x=0$ is subtle: $\mathcal{D}$ is a distribution in the two-dimensional space (t,x) and its restriction to a line $t=\theta x$ must be appropriately specified. In the appendix we show that

$$x^3\mathcal{D}(\theta x,x;T)=0\quad\text{for}\ \ |\theta|<v_{max}^{-1} \tag{20}$$

in the well-defined sense of one-dimensional distributions in the variable x. Then multiplying (19) by x^3 and taking the integral over x we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial\theta^3}\int_0^{\infty}\frac{d\hat{\omega}}{\hat{\omega}}\,(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\hat{\omega}})\hat{\rho}_1(\hat{\omega},\theta)=0\,,\ \text{if}\ |\theta|<v_{max}^{-1}\ , \tag{21}$$

where we have used that the integrand is an even function of $\hat{\omega}$ and, as proved above, the vacuum spectral density vanishes for these values of θ. Next we use the relations (16) which remain valid in the thermal bath. Combined with (21) they imply $\rho_T{}^{\mu}_{\nu}(\omega,k)=0$ for $|k/\omega|<v_{max}^{-1}$ and (12) follows by unitarity.

Step 3. We now construct a sequence of local dimension-2 operators $\Phi_n(\xi)$, such that

$$\partial_t\Phi_n=\partial_x\Phi_{n+1}\ , \tag{22a}$$

$$\Phi_1=-T^t_x\ ,\quad\ \Phi_2=T^x_x=-T^t_t\ ,\quad\ \Phi_3=T^x_t\ . \tag{22b}$$

[5] This is trivially valid for a $(1+1)$-dimensional relativistic theory where the EMT decomposes into the left- and right-moving parts, $T^{\mu}_{\nu}(t,x)=T^{(l)}{}^{\mu}_{\nu}(t+x)+T^{(r)}{}^{\mu}_{\nu}(t-x)$, and hence its Fourier transform is localized on the light-cone.

[6] We again omit the indices μ,ν.

[7] Note that $\rho_T(\omega,k)$ is related to the imaginary part of the retarded Green's function in the thermal state: $2\,\mathrm{Im}\,G^{ret}_T(\omega,k)=(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\omega/T})\rho_T(\omega,k)$.

Consider the integral over space of the EMT component T_t^x. Its average over a normalizable state is

$$\langle\alpha|\int dx\, T_t^x(t,x)|\alpha\rangle = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{i\omega t}\langle\alpha|\tilde{T}_t^x(\omega,k=0)|\alpha\rangle.$$

Due to (12) the matrix element on the r.h.s. is proportional[8] to $\delta(\omega)$ implying that $\int dx\ T_t^x(t,x)$ is time independent. Hence there exists a local operator Φ_4 such that $\partial_t T_t^x = \partial_x \Phi_4$. Its Fourier transform is $\tilde{\Phi}_4(\omega,k) = (\omega/k)\,\tilde{T}_t^x(\omega,k)$, and thus also satisfies the property (12). Then by applying to Φ_4 the same reasoning as above one concludes that there must exist another operator Φ_5 such that $\partial_t\Phi_4 = \partial_x\Phi_5$ and so on.

According to the assumption 5, the number N of linearly independent operators in the sequence Φ_n is finite. Inside the linear envelope of the operators Φ_n, $n \le N$, we can define a map $\Phi \mapsto \tilde{\Phi}$, where $\partial_t\Phi = \partial_x\tilde{\Phi}$. It is straightforward to show that this map is Hermitian with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle\Phi,\Psi\rangle = \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2\ f^*(\xi_1) f(\xi_2)\ \langle 0|\Phi^+(\xi_1)\Psi(\xi_2)|0\rangle\ ,$$

where $f(\xi)$ is an arbitrary test function introduced to make the integral finite. Therefore, it can be diagonalized by a linear transformation,

$$\Phi_n = \sum_a c_{na}\Psi_a\ , \qquad \partial_t\Psi_a = v_a\partial_x\Psi_a\ , \tag{23}$$

where Ψ_a are local operators and the eigenvalues v_a are real. This yields the decomposition (5) of the EMT with[9]

$$\begin{aligned} &T^{(a)\,t}_{\ \ x} = -c_{1a}\Psi_a\ , \qquad T^{(a)\,x}_{\ \ x} = -T^{(a)\,t}_{\ \ t} = c_{2a}\Psi_a\ , \\ &T^{(a)\,x}_{\ \ t} = c_{3a}\Psi_a\ . \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Eqs. (22a), (23) imply the relations between the coefficients $c_{n+1,a}{=}v_a c_{na}$ which yield the properties (6a), (6b).

The property (6c) will follow if we prove that the operators Ψ_a, Ψ_b corresponding to different eigenvalues $v_a \neq v_b$ commute at the coincident time which we choose to be $t = 0$. Indeed, this will imply that the time-evolution of the operators for different a is completely independent, being given by the Hamiltonians $H^{(a)} \propto \int dx\Psi_a$, and thus the vanishing of the commutator is preserved for a non-zero temporal separation. Locality fixes the most general form of the equal-time commutator,

$$[\Psi_a(0,x),\Psi_b(0,0)] = \sum_{i=0}^{3} Q_i(0,x)\delta^{(i)}(x) \tag{25}$$

where $\delta^{(i)}(x)$ is the ith derivative of the δ-functions and the local operators $Q_i(t,x)$ have dimensions $3-i$. The sum terminates because we have assumed the spectrum of dimensions to be non-negative.

Now consider the Jacobi identity

$$-i[P_t,[\Psi_a,\Psi_b]] = -i[\Psi_a,[P_t,\Psi_b]] + i[\Psi_b,[P_t,\Psi_a]]\ .$$

From (25) one reads the l.h.s.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{3}\dot{Q}_i(0,x)\delta^{(i)}(x)\ . \tag{26}$$

While on the r.h.s. upon substituting the time derivatives of $\Psi_{a,b}$ by their space derivatives using (23) we find a term proportional to the fourth derivative of the δ-function, $(v_a - v_b)Q_3(0,x)\delta^{(4)}(x)$. This must vanish implying $Q_3 = 0$. But then the contribution with $\delta^{(3)}$ disappears from (26) and requiring that on the r.h.s. it also vanishes we obtain $Q_2 = 0$. Continuing this argument we conclude that the commutator vanishes altogether.

This completes the proof. ■

Appendix: analysis of $\mathcal{D}(t,x;T)$ Scale invariance and continuity in temperature imply the relations,

$$\mathcal{D}(\lambda x,\lambda t;T/\lambda) = \lambda^{-4}\mathcal{D}(x,t;T)\ , \tag{27a}$$

$$\lim_{T\to 0}\mathcal{D}(t,x;T) = 0\ . \tag{27b}$$

These must be understood in the sense of two-dimensional distributions. We define the object

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\theta x,x;T) &= \int dt\,\delta(t-\theta x)\,\mathcal{D}(t,x;T) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\int dt\,\lambda\varphi\big(\lambda(t-\theta x)\big)\,\mathcal{D}(t,x;T)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where we have regularized the last expression by approximating the δ-function with a sequence of smooth functions of compact support. Consider the convolution of (28) with an arbitrary test function weighted by x^3

$$\begin{aligned} &\int dx\,x^3\mathcal{D}(\theta x,x;T)f(x) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\int dxdt\,x^3\varphi(t-\theta x)\,f(x/\lambda)\,\mathcal{D}(t,x;T/\lambda)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Vanishing of $\mathcal{D}$ at $|x| > v_{max}|t|$ implies that if $|\theta| < v_{max}^{-1}$ the function $f(x/\lambda)$ in the integrand can be replaced by $f_1(x;\lambda) \equiv \varphi_1(x)f(x/\lambda)$ where $\varphi_1(x)$ is a localized test function that does not depend on λ and equals 1 in a certain interval around $x = 0$ (this interval is determined by the overlap of the causal cone with the support of $\varphi(t-\theta x)$). The sequence $f_1(x;\lambda)$ has a well-defined limit $\varphi_1(x)f(0)$ at $\lambda\to\infty$. Then (27b) implies that (29) vanishes leading to (20).

[8] It cannot contain derivatives of the δ-function as in the vicinity of $k = 0$ the matrix element $\langle\alpha|\tilde{T}_t^x(\omega,k)|\alpha\rangle$ is a product of a positive distribution $\langle\alpha|\tilde{T}_t^t(\omega,k)|\alpha\rangle$ and a bounded function $(\omega/k)\Theta(v_{max} - |\omega/k|)$.

[9] If one imposes invariance under spatial parity it is possible to show that the eigenvalues v_a come in sign-symmetric pairs $\pm v_a$. Then the partial EMT's (24) can be grouped into EMT's of parity-symmetric subsystems.

Acknowledgments I have greatly profited from the discussions with Diego Blas, Dmitry Gorbunov, Sergei Dubovsky, Charles Melby-Thompson, Dmitry Levkov, Emin Nugaev, Oriol Pujolas, Slava Rychkov and Misha Shaposhnikov. I thank Fedor Bezrukov and Valery Rubakov for valuable comments on the first version of the paper. This work was supported in part by the Grant of the President of Russian Federation NS-2835.2014.2.

[1] A. B. Zamolodchikov, JETP Lett. **43**, 730 (1986) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **43**, 565 (1986)].
[2] J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. B **303**, 226 (1988).
[3] M. A. Luty, J. Polchinski and R. Rattazzi, JHEP **1301**, 152 (2013) [arXiv:1204.5221 [hep-th]].
[4] A. Dymarsky, Z. Komargodski, A. Schwimmer and S. Theisen, "On Scale and Conformal Invariance in Four Dimensions," arXiv:1309.2921 [hep-th].
[5] H. B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, Nucl. Phys. B **141**, 153 (1978).
[6] S. Chadha and H. B. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B **217**, 125 (1983).
[7] R. Iengo, J. G. Russo and M. Serone, JHEP **0911**, 020 (2009) [arXiv:0906.3477 [hep-th]].
[8] G. F. Giudice, M. Raidal and A. Strumia, Phys. Lett. B **690**, 272 (2010) [arXiv:1003.2364 [hep-ph]].
[9] M. M. Anber and J. F. Donoghue, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 105027 (2011) [arXiv:1102.0789 [hep-th]].
[10] O. Vafek, Z. Tesanovic and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 157003 (2002) [cond-mat/0203047].
[11] M. Franz, ZTesanovic and O. Vafek, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 054535 (2002) [cond-mat/0203333].
[12] D. J. Lee and I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 094512 (2002) [cond-mat/0201088].
[13] I. F. Herbut, V. Juricic and B. Roy, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 085116 (2009) [arXiv:0811.0610 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[14] A. Giuliani, V. Mastropietro and M. Porta, Annals Phys. **327**, 461 (2012) [arXiv:1107.4741 [cond-mat.str-el]].
[15] R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 085025 (2012) [arXiv:1106.4501 [hep-th]].
[16] G. Bednik, O. Pujolàs and S. Sibiryakov, JHEP **1311**, 064 (2013) [arXiv:1305.0011 [hep-th]].
[17] D. M. Hofman and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 161601 (2011) [arXiv:1107.2917 [hep-th]].
[18] J. Wess, Nuovo Cim. **18**, 1086 (1960).